

NILFACTORS OF $\mathbb{R}^m$ -ACTIONS AND CONFIGURATIONS IN SETS OF POSITIVE UPPER DENSITY IN $\mathbb{R}^m$

T. ZIEGLER

ABSTRACT. We use ergodic theoretic tools to solve a classical problem in geometric Ramsey theory. Let E be a measurable subset of $\mathbb{R}^m$, with $\bar{D}(E) > 0$. Let $V = \{0, v_1, \dots, v_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$. We show that for r large enough, we can find an isometric copy of rV arbitrarily close to E . This is a generalization of a theorem of Furstenberg, Katznelson and Weiss [FuKaW] showing a similar property for $m = k = 2$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let E be a measurable subset of $\mathbb{R}^m$. We set

$$\bar{D}(E) := \limsup_{l(S) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(S \cap E)}{m(S)},$$

where S ranges over all cubes in $\mathbb{R}^m$, and $l(S)$ denotes the length of a side of S . $\bar{D}(E)$ is the upper density of E . We are interested in configurations which are necessarily contained in E . Furstenberg, Katznelson, and Weiss [FuKaW] showed, using methods from ergodic theory, that if $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, with $\bar{D}(E) > 0$, all large distances in E are attained. More precisely:

1.1. Theorem (FuKaW). *If $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with $\bar{D}(E) > 0$, there exists l_0 such that for any $l > l_0$ one can find a pair of points $x, y \in E$ with $\|x - y\| = l$.*

This result was also proved, using different methods, by Bourgain [Bo], and by Falconer and Marstrand [FM]. It is natural to ask if the same is valid for larger configurations. Bourgain has shown by an example that this can not be done [Bo].

As some configurations may not be found in the set itself, we try to find the configurations arbitrarily close to the set. In the same paper Furstenberg, Katznelson, and Weiss [FuKaW] show that with this weaker condition, one can find triangles in the plane:

1.2. Theorem (FuKaW). *Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with $\bar{D}(E) > 0$, and let E_δ denote the points at distance $< \delta$ from E . Let $v, u \in \mathbb{R}^2$, then there exists l_0 such that for $l > l_0$ and any $\delta > 0$ there exists a triple $(x, y, z) \subset E_\delta^3$ forming a triangle congruent to $(0, lu, lv)$.*

The idea of the proof is to translate the geometric problem to a dynamical problem, where E corresponds to some measurable set $\tilde{E}$, with positive measure, in a measure preserving system $(X^0, \mathcal{B}, \mu, \mathbb{R}^2)$. The statement that

E_δ contains a certain configuration, corresponds to a recurrence condition on the set $\tilde{E}$. In the case of triangles (configurations formed by 2 vectors), the recurrence phenomenon in question is reduced to the case where $(X^0, \mathcal{B}, \mu, \mathbb{R}^2)$ is a Kronecker action. The problem for a general configuration reduces to the study of pro-nilsystems (defined later). We prove the following theorem:

1.3. Theorem. *Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ have positive upper density, and let E_δ denote the points of distance $< \delta$ from E . Let $(u_1, \dots, u_k) \subset (\mathbb{R}^m)^k$. Then there exists l_0 such that for any $l > l_0$, and any $\delta > 0$ there exists $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1}\} \in E_\delta^{k+1}$ forming a configuration congruent to $\{0, lu_1, \dots, lu_k\}$.*

Acknowledgment I thank Sasha Leibman and Hillel Furstenberg for helpful comments.

2. TRANSLATION OF THE GEOMETRIC PROBLEM TO A DYNAMICAL PROBLEM.

We start by translating the geometric problem to a dynamical problem. The translation as shown here was done in [FuKaW]. We bring it here for the sake of completeness.

Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, such that $\bar{D}(E) > 0$. Define

$$\varphi(u) := \min\{1, \text{dist}(u, E)\}.$$

The functions $\varphi_v(u) = \varphi(u + v)$ form an equicontinuous, uniformly bounded family, and thus have compact closure in the topology of uniform convergence over bounded sets in $\mathbb{R}^m$. Denote this closure by X^0 . $\mathbb{R}^m$ acts on X^0 by $T_v\psi(u) = \psi(u + v)$ for $\psi \in X^0, u, v \in \mathbb{R}^m$. X^0 is a compact metrizable space and we can identify Borel measures on X^0 with functionals on $C(X^0)$. Since $\bar{D}(E) > 0$, there exists a sequence of cubes S_n such that

$$\frac{m(S_n \cap E)}{m(S_n)} \longrightarrow \bar{D}(E) > 0.$$

We define a probability measure μ on X^0 as follows. We define the following probability measures: for $f \in C(X^0)$, let

$$\mu_n(f) = \frac{1}{m(S_n)} \int_{S_n} f(T_v\varphi) dm(v)$$

We have for some subsequence $\{n_k\}$

$$\mu_{n_k} \xrightarrow{w*} \mu.$$

Set $f_0(\psi) = \psi(0)$, then f_0 is a continuous function on X^0 . We define $\tilde{E} \subset X^0$ by

$$\psi \in \tilde{E} \iff f_0(\psi) = 0 \iff \psi(0) = 0.$$

$\tilde{E}$ is a closed subset of X^0 and we have:

$$\mu(\tilde{E}) = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_X (1 - f_0(\psi))^l d\mu(\psi).$$

2.1. Lemma. $\mu(\tilde{E}) > 0$.

Proof. It suffices to show that for any l ,

$$\int_X (1 - f_0(\psi))^l d\mu(\psi) \geq \bar{D}(E).$$

Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X (1 - f_0(\psi))^l d\mu(\psi) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m(S_{n_k})} \int_{S_{n_k}} (1 - f_0(T_v \varphi))^l dm(v) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m(S_{n_k})} \int_{S_{n_k}} (1 - \varphi(v))^l dm(v) \\ &\geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(S_{n_k} \cap E)}{m(S_{n_k})} = \bar{D}(E) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $\varphi(v) = 0$ for $v \in E$. □

The next proposition establishes the correspondence between E and $\tilde{E}$.

2.2. Proposition. *Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\tilde{E}$ be as above. If for $(u_1, \dots, u_l) \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^l$ we have*

$$(1) \quad \mu(\tilde{E} \cap T_{u_1}^{-1} \tilde{E} \cap \dots \cap T_{u_l}^{-1} \tilde{E}) > 0,$$

then for all $\delta > 0$,

$$E_\delta \cap (E_\delta - u_1) \cap \dots \cap (E_\delta - u_l) \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. Define the function g on X^0 by

$$g(\psi) = \begin{cases} \delta - f_0(\psi) & \text{if } f_0(\psi) < \delta, \\ 0 & \text{if } f_0(\psi) \geq \delta. \end{cases}$$

Since $g(\psi)$ is positive for $\psi \in \tilde{E}$, equation (1) implies that

$$\int g(\psi) g(T_{u_1} \psi) \dots g(T_{u_l} \psi) d\mu > 0.$$

In particular for some $\psi = T_w \varphi$ the integrand is positive. As

$$g(T_w \varphi) > 0 \iff \varphi(w) < \delta \iff w \in E_\delta$$

we have

$$w \in E_\delta, w + u_1 \in E_\delta, \dots, w + u_l \in E_\delta.$$

□

We now forget the original set E , and the geometric problem takes the following dynamical form:

2.3. Theorem (Dynamical Version). *Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, \mathbb{R}^m)$ be a $\mathbb{R}^m$ action, and let T_u denote the action of $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Let $(u_1, \dots, u_k) \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^k$, and let $A \subset X$, with $\mu(A) > 0$. There exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ s.t. for all $t > t_0$, there exists a rotation $P \in SO(m)$ such that*

$$\mu(A \cap T_{tP u_1}^{-1} A \cap \dots \cap T_{tP u_k}^{-1} A) > 0.$$

(Here $SO(m)$ is the special orthogonal group acting on $\mathbb{R}^m$).

3. PRELIMINARIES.

In the following section we give some measure theoretic and ergodic theory preliminaries. The theorems are stated without proofs. For the proofs see [Fu1], [Pe].

A *measure preserving system* (m.p.s) is a system $X = (X^0, \mathcal{B}, \mu, G)$ where X^0 is an arbitrary space, $\mathcal{B}$ is a σ -algebra of subsets of X^0 , μ is a σ -additive probability measure on the sets of $\mathcal{B}$, and G is a locally compact group acting on X^0 by measure preserving transformations. We denote the action of the element $g \in G$ by T_g . If the group $G = \mathbb{Z}$, and T is the generator of the $\mathbb{Z}$ action, we denote the system $(X^0, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$. We say that the action of G is *ergodic*, if for any $A \in \mathcal{B}$, $T_g^{-1}A = A \ \forall g \in G$, implies $\mu(A) = 0$ or $\mu(A) = 1$. In this case we also say that μ is ergodic with respect to the action of G . Each T_g induces a natural operator on $L^2(X)$ by $T_g f = f \circ T_g$, and the ergodicity of the action of G is equivalent to the assertion that there are no non-constant G -invariant functions. We have:

3.1. Theorem (Mean Ergodic Theorem). *Let $X = (X^0, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ be a m.p.s., and $f \in L^2(X)$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f \circ T^n \xrightarrow{L^2(X)} \mathbb{P}f,$$

where $\mathbb{P}f$ is the orthogonal projection of f on the subspace of the T -invariant functions.

Let $X = (X^0, \mathcal{B}, \mu, G)$ be a measure preserving system (m.p.s). Let $Y = (Y^0, \mathcal{D}, \nu, G)$ be a homomorphic image of X ; i.e., we have a map $\pi : X^0 \rightarrow Y^0$ with $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{B}_Y \subset \mathcal{B}_X$, $\pi\mu_X = \mu_Y$ and π commutes with the G action. Then Y is a *factor* of X , X is an *extension* of Y , and abusing the notation we write $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ for the factor map. A factor of X is determined by a G -invariant subalgebra of $L^\infty(X)$. The map π induces two natural maps $\pi^* : L^2(Y) \rightarrow L^2(X)$ given by $\pi^* f = f \circ \pi$, and $\pi_* : L^2(X) \rightarrow L^2(\mu_Y)$ given by $\pi_* f = E(f|\mathcal{B}_Y)$ (the orthogonal projection of f on $\pi^* L^2(Y)$). The two measure preserving systems are *equivalent* if the homomorphism of one to the other is invertible. We shall simplify the notation writing $E(f|Y)$ for $E(f|\mathcal{B}_Y)$.

A m.p.s. X is *regular* if X^0 is a compact metric space, $\mathcal{B}$ the Borel algebra of X^0 , μ a measure on $\mathcal{B}$. A m.p.s. is *separable* if $\mathcal{B}$ is generated

by a countable subset. As every separable m.p.s. is equivalent to a regular m.p.s., we will confine our attention to regular m.p.s.

3.1. Disintegration of Measure. Let $(X^0, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ be a regular measure space, and let $\alpha : (X^0, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \rightarrow (Y^0, \mathcal{D}, \nu)$ be a homomorphism to another measure space (not necessarily regular). Suppose α is induced by a map $\varphi : X^0 \rightarrow Y^0$. In this case the measure μ has a *disintegration* in terms of fiber measures μ_y , where μ_y is concentrated on the fiber $\varphi^{-1}(y) = X_y$. We denote by $\mathcal{M}(X)$ the compact metric space of probability measures on X^0 .

3.2. Theorem. *There exists a measurable map from Y^0 to $\mathcal{M}(X^0)$, $y \rightarrow \mu_y$ which satisfies:*

- (1) *For every $f \in L^1(X^0, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$, $f \in L^1(X^0, \mathcal{B}, \mu_y)$ for a.e. $y \in Y^0$, and $E(f|Y)(y) = \int f d\mu_y$ for a.e. $y \in Y^0$*
- (2) *$\int \{ \int f d\mu_y \} d\nu(y) = \int f d\mu$ for every $f \in L^1(X^0, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$.*

The map $y \rightarrow \mu_y$ is characterized by condition (1). We shall write $\mu = \int \mu_y d\nu$ and refer to this as the disintegration of the measure μ with respect to $\mathcal{D}$.

If $(X^0, \mathcal{B}, \mu, G)$ is a m.p.s., $\mathcal{D}$ the algebra of all G -invariant sets, $\mu = \int \mu_x d\mu(x)$ the disintegration of μ with respect to $\mathcal{D}$, then μ_x is G -invariant and ergodic for a.e. x .

3.3. Nilsystems and Characteristic Factors A *k-step nilflow* is a system $X = (N/\Gamma, \mathcal{B}, m, G)$ where N is a k -step nilpotent Lie group, Γ a cocompact lattice, $\mathcal{B}$ the (completed) Borel algebra, m the Haar measure, and the action of G is by translation by elements of N : $T_g n \Gamma = a_g n \Gamma$ where $g \rightarrow a_g$ is a homomorphism of G to N . We will sometimes denote this system by $(N/\Gamma, G)$, or $(N/\Gamma, a)$ if $G = \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \rightarrow a$. If G is connected and $(N/\Gamma, G)$ is an ergodic nilflow, then we may assume that N is connected so that $X^0 = N/\Gamma$ is connected and is a homogeneous space of the identity component of N . A *k-step pro-nilflow* is an inverse limit of k -step nilflows.

3.4. Theorem (Cf.[Pa1]). *Let $X = (N/\Gamma, a)$ be an ergodic nilflow, then X is uniquely ergodic. Let f be a continuous function on N/Γ . Then the averages $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f(a^n x)$ converge uniformly to $\int f(x) dm$.*

3.5. Let N be a connected simply connected nilpotent Lie group, Γ a cocompact lattice in N , and $X^0 = N/\Gamma$. Let $\pi : N \rightarrow X^0$ be the natural projection, and let M be a closed connected subgroup of N such that $\pi(M)$ is a closed submanifold of X^0 . Let $G = \mathbb{R}^k$ and let $\varphi : G \rightarrow N$ be a homomorphism. For $x \in X^0$ let $O(x) = \overline{Gx}$, and for $x \in X^0$, $g \in G$ let $O_g(x) = \{\varphi(ng)x\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$; these are subnilmanifolds of X^0 (see for example [Le]).

Introducing Malcev coordinates on N and M ([Ma]) we can identify these groups topologically with, say, $\mathbb{R}^l$ and $\mathbb{R}^m$, $l \geq m$. Call a proper subspace of $\mathbb{R}^d$ *countably linear* if it is contained in a countable union of proper linear

subspaces. Call a subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ *polynomial* if it is the set of zeroes of some nonzero polynomial in $\mathbb{R}^d$ (i.e. an algebraic variety of co-dimension 1), and *countably polynomial* if it is contained in a countable union of proper polynomial subsets. The following proposition is due to Sasha Leibman:

3.6. Proposition. *There exists a connected subnilmanifold V of X^0 such that $O(\pi(a)) \subseteq aV$ for all $a \in M$, and there exists a countably linear set $B \subset G$ such that for every $g \in G \setminus B$ there is a countably polynomial set $A_g \subset M$ such that $O_g(\pi(a)) = aV$ for all $a \in M \setminus A_g$.*

Proof. Define a mapping $\eta : G \times M \rightarrow N$ by $\eta(g, a) = a^{-1}\varphi(g)a$. In Malcev coordinates on M and N , η is a polynomial mapping $\mathbb{R}^{k+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$ (see [Ma]). Moreover for each $a \in M$, $\eta(\cdot, a)$ is a homomorphism $G \rightarrow N$. Let H be the closure of the subgroup generated by $\eta(G \times M)$. Let V be the closure of $\pi(H)$ in N/Γ . Then V is a subnilmanifold $V = \pi(K)$ for some closed subgroup K of N ([Sh]) ($\pi(H)$ itself is not necessarily closed). We then have $a^{-1}\varphi(g)\pi(a) = \pi(a^{-1}\varphi(g)a) \in V$, thus $\varphi(g)\pi(a) \in aV$ for any $a \in M$, and $g \in G$. So, $O(\pi(a)) \subseteq aV$ for all $a \in M$.

Let $\tilde{L}$ be the set $\{l \in N : lV = V\}$. Then $\tilde{L}$ is a group, $\eta(G \times M)$ and K are subsets of $\tilde{L}$, and $V = \pi(\tilde{L})$. Let L be the identity component of $\tilde{L}$, then $\eta(G \times M) \subseteq L$, and therefore $H \subseteq L$. V is connected, and therefore a homogeneous subspace of L ; $V = L/L \cap \Gamma$. Let W be the maximal torus factor of V , $W = L/([L, L](L \cap \Gamma))$, and let $p : V \rightarrow W$ be the natural projection. Let $\hat{W}$ be the group of characters of W , and let $\chi \in \hat{W}$. The character χ can be lifted to a homomorphism $\zeta_\chi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. For each $\chi \in \hat{W}$, let $\psi_\chi := \zeta_\chi \circ \eta$. Then ψ_χ are polynomials on $G \times M$, which for each $a \in M$ are linear with respect to G . Moreover, each ψ_χ is a nonzero polynomial; otherwise $\eta(G \times M)$ would be contained in the kernel of the corresponding homomorphism $\chi \circ p \circ \pi : N \rightarrow S^1$. This is a closed subgroup of N containing $\eta(G \times M)$, thus contains the subgroup H . Therefore $\chi \circ p \circ \pi(H) = 1$, but this implies that $\chi \circ p(V) = 1$, i.e. χ is the trivial character.

Let $C_\chi \subset G \times M$ be the set of zeros of ψ_χ , and let $C = \bigcup_{\chi \in \hat{W}} C_\chi$. Then C is a countably polynomial subset of $G \times M$.

For any $(g, a) \notin C$ one has $\chi \circ p(a^{-1}\varphi(g)\pi(a)) = \chi \circ p(\pi(a^{-1}\varphi(g)a)) \neq 0$ for all $\chi \in \hat{W}$, so the projection of $a^{-1}\varphi(g)\pi(a) \in V$ to W is not contained in any proper subtorus of W . Consider the following $\mathbb{Z}$ action on V : for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $v \rightarrow a^{-1}\varphi(ng)av$. Since the projection of $a^{-1}O_g(\pi(a)) \subseteq V$ to W is a closed subgroup of W , i.e. a subtorus of W , it is equal to W . By Parry ([Pa1]) this implies the $\mathbb{Z}$ action is minimal and therefore $a^{-1}O_g(\pi(a)) = V$, and so $O_g(\pi(a)) = aV$.

Now let

$$B = \{g \in G : \{g\} \times M \subseteq C\}, \quad M_\chi(g) = \{a \in M : \psi_\chi(g, a) = 0\}.$$

If $\{g\} \times M \subseteq C$, then $M = \bigcup_{\chi \in \hat{W}} M_\chi(g)$. As M is connected, if $M_\chi(g)$ has non-empty interior, then $M_\chi(g) = M$. By the Baire category theorem M_χ

is non-empty for some $\chi \in \hat{W}$. Therefore

$$B = \bigcup_{\chi \in \hat{W}} \{g \in G : \psi_\chi(g, a) = 0 \text{ for all } a \in M\}.$$

Then B is a countably linear subset of G , and for each $g \in G \setminus B$,

$$A_g = C \cap (\{g\} \times M) = \bigcup_{\chi \in \hat{W}} \{a \in M : \psi_\chi(g, a) = 0\}$$

is a countably polynomial subset of M . $\square$

3.7. Theorem. *Let $X = (X^0, \mathcal{B}, \mu, \mathbb{R}^m)$ be an ergodic $\mathbb{R}^m$ action. We can associate with X an inverse sequence of factors $\dots \rightarrow Y_k(X) \rightarrow Y_{k-1}(X) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow Y_1(X)$, where $Y_k(X)$ is a $k-1$ -step pro-nilflow such that the following holds: If $u_1, \dots, u_k \in \mathbb{R}^m$ are such that the actions of T_{u_i} and $T_{u_i - u_j}$ for $i \neq j$ are ergodic, then for any bounded measurable functions $f_1, \dots, f_k$ the limits in $L^2(X)$*

$$(2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^k T_{nu_j} f_j(x), \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \pi^* \prod_{j=1}^k T_{nu_j} E(f_j | Y_k)(x)$$

exist and are equal. The factor $Y_k(X)$ is called the k -universal characteristic factor (k -u.c.f) of X . Let

$$\tau_{\vec{u}}(T) := T_{u_1} \times \dots \times T_{u_l},$$

let $\Delta_k(\mu)$ be the diagonal measure on X^k , then

$$\bar{\Delta}_{\vec{u}}(\mu) := \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \tau_{\vec{u}}^n \Delta_k(\mu)$$

is well defined. If F is a function invariant under $\tau_{\vec{u}}$ with respect to the measure $\bar{\Delta}_{\vec{u}}(\mu)$ and if $E(f_j | \mathcal{B}_{Y_k}) = 0$ for some $1 \leq j \leq k$, then

$$\int f_1(x_1) \dots f_k(x_k) F(x_1, \dots, x_k) d\bar{\Delta}_{\vec{u}} = 0.$$

The factors $Y_k(X)$ were constructed for an ergodic m.p.s $X = (X^0, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ by Host and Kra [HKr] and independently by Ziegler [Z]. Frantzikinakis and Kra [FrKr] showed that if $X_i = (X^0, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T_i)$ are ergodic measure preserving systems on the same space X^0 , where T_i commute, then $\mathcal{B}_{Y_k(X_i)} = \mathcal{B}_{Y_k(X_j)} = \mathcal{B}_{Y_k}$ for any i, j , and if the action of $T_i^{-1}T_j$ is ergodic for all $i \neq j$, then equation (2) holds (replacing T_{nu_i} with $T_{ni} = T_i^n$). Thus if we have a $\mathbb{R}^m$ action then the systems $X_u = (X^0, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T_u)$, $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ for which the action of T_u is ergodic, share the same sequence of factors $Y_k(X) = Y_k(X_u)$. The fact that $Y_k(X)$ is a factor of the $\mathbb{R}^m$ action follows from [Z] corollary 2.4. We will show that the action of $\mathbb{R}^m$ on $Y_k(X)$ preserves the pro-nil structure:

3.8. Definition. Let $Y = (Y^0, \mathcal{B}_Y, \mu_Y, \mathbb{R}^m)$ be a j -step pronilflow; $Y = \lim_{\leftarrow} N_i/\Gamma_i$. We say that the action of $\mathbb{R}^m$ on Y preserves the pro-nil structure if the action of $\mathbb{R}^m$ on Y induces a $\mathbb{R}^m$ action on N_i/Γ_i by group rotations.

3.9. Proposition. Let $Y = (Y^0, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ be a j -step ergodic pronilflow; $Y = \lim_{\leftarrow} N_i/\Gamma_i$. Let $\{T_c\}_{c \in \mathbb{R}^m}$ be a $\mathbb{R}^m$ action on $(Y^0, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ that commutes with the action of T . Then the action of $\mathbb{R}^m$ on Y preserves the pro-nil structure.

Proof. For $j = 1$, Y is a Kronecker action, and any factor of Y is a Kronecker action. Thus it is enough to check that eigenfunctions of the T action are also eigenfunctions of the $\mathbb{R}^m$ action. If ψ is an eigenfunction, $T\psi(y) = \lambda\psi(y)$, then as T and T_c commute $\psi(TT_c y) = \lambda\psi(T_c y)$. Combining the two equations we get

$$T \left(\frac{\psi(T_c y)}{\psi(y)} \right) = 1.$$

By ergodicity of T we get $\psi(T_c y) = \delta_c \psi(y)$.

We proceed by induction on j . Let Y be a j -step ergodic pronilflow; $Y = \lim_{\leftarrow} M_i/\Lambda_i$. We first show that the $\mathbb{R}^m$ action on Y induces a $\mathbb{R}^m$ action on M_i/Λ_i . Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow M_i/\Lambda_i$ be the projection. Let $p : Y \rightarrow Y_j(M_i/\Lambda_i)$ be the projection onto the j u.c.f of M_i/Λ_i . $Y_j(M_i/\Lambda_i)$ is a $j-1$ -step nilflow, we denote it N_i/Γ_i . The space $L^2(M_i/\Lambda_i) \circ \pi \subset L^2(Y)$ is spanned by functions f satisfying the following condition:

$$Tf(y) = g(y)f(y)$$

where $g = \tilde{g} \circ p$ with $\tilde{g}$ of type j (see [Z] theorem 6.1). As T, T_c commute for any $c \in \mathbb{R}^m$

$$TT_c f(y) = T_c T f(y) = T_c g(y) T_c f(y).$$

Thus

$$T \left(\frac{f(T_c y)}{f(y)} \right) = \frac{T_c g(y)}{g(y)} \frac{f(T_c y)}{f(y)}.$$

By the induction hypothesis the action of $\mathbb{R}^m$ on Y induces an action on $Y_j(M_i/\Lambda_i) = N_i/\Gamma_i$, and this action is given by rotation by an element $a_i(c) \in N_i$. By proposition 6.37 in [Z], as $\mathbb{R}^m$ commutes with the action of T on N_i/Γ_i given by rotation by $a \in N_i$, there exists a family of measurable functions $\{f_c : N_i/\Gamma_i \rightarrow S^1\}_{c \in \mathbb{R}^m}$ and a family of constants $\{\lambda_c\}_{c \in \mathbb{R}^m}$ such that

$$\frac{T_c \tilde{g}(p(y))}{\tilde{g}(p(y))} = \lambda_c \frac{T f_c(p(y))}{f_c(p(y))}.$$

We get

$$T \left(\frac{f(T_c y)}{f(y) f_c(p(y))} \right) = \lambda_c \frac{f(T_c y)}{f(y) f_c(p(y))}.$$

This implies that λ_c is an eigenvalue of T , but as it is multiplicative in $c \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\lambda_c \equiv 1$. Therefore by ergodicity of the T action

$$\frac{f(T_c y)}{f(y)f_c(p(y))} = \delta'_c$$

or

$$f(T_c y) = \delta_c f(y) f_c(p(y)) \in L^2(M_i/\Lambda_i) \circ \pi.$$

This shows that the $\mathbb{R}^m$ action on Y induces an $\mathbb{R}^m$ action on M_i/Λ_i . The fact that this action is given by group rotations was shown by Parry [Pa2] in the case where M_i is connected. Alternatively, M_i/Λ_i can be presented as a torus extension of $Y_j(M_i/\Lambda_i) = N_i/\Gamma_i$ with $g : N_i/\Gamma_i \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ a cocycle of type j . Without loss of generality we can assume $n = 1$. Now the tuples (a, g) , $(a_i(c), f_c)$ belong to the group $\mathcal{G}$ defined in [Z] proposition 6.37 and this group acts transitively and effectively on M_i/Λ_i . $\square$

3.10. Proposition ([PS]). *If $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, \mathbb{R})$ is an ergodic action of $\mathbb{R}$, then but for a countable set of $u \in \mathbb{R}$, T_u acts ergodically. If $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, \mathbb{R}^m)$ is an ergodic action of $\mathbb{R}^m$, then but for a countable set of $l-1$ dimensional hyperplanes, all $l-1$ dimensional hyperplanes through the origin act ergodically.*

The following is a version of the van der Corput Lemma (see [FuKaW]).

3.11. Lemma. *Let H be a Hilbert space, $\xi \in \Xi$ some index set, and let $u_n(\xi) \in H$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be uniformly bounded in n, ξ . Assume that for each r the limit*

$$\gamma_r(\xi) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle u_n(\xi), u_{n+r}(\xi) \rangle$$

exists uniformly and

$$(3) \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{R} \sum_{r=1}^R \gamma_r(\xi) = 0$$

uniformly. Then

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N u_n(\xi) \xrightarrow{H} 0$$

uniformly in ξ .

3.12. Multidimensional Szemerédi. The following generalization of Szemerédi's theorem was proved by Furstenberg and Katznelson [FuKa]:

3.13. Theorem. *Let $X = (X^0, \mathcal{B}, \mu, \mathbb{Z}^k)$ be a m.p.s., and let $T_1, \dots, T_k$ be the generators of the $\mathbb{Z}^k$ action. Let $f \geq 0$ be a bounded measurable function on X with $\int f d\mu > 0$. Then*

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \int f(x) T_1^n f(x) \dots T_k^n f(x) d\mu(x) > 0.$$

4. THE MAIN THEOREM

Denote $M_m(\mathbb{R})$ the $m \times m$ matrices over $\mathbb{R}$, and $SO(m)$ the special orthogonal group. Recall that if $(N/\Gamma, G)$ is a nilflow the action of T_g for $g \in G$ is given by $T_g n\Gamma = a_g n\Gamma$ where $a_g \in N$.

4.1. Lemma. *Let $(N/\Gamma, \mathbb{R}^m)$ be an ergodic measure preserving action of $\mathbb{R}^m$ on a nilmanifold N/Γ , where N is connected. Let f_j be continuous functions on N/Γ . Let $(u_1, \dots, u_l) \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^l$. Then there exists a countably linear set (see 3.5) $\mathcal{S} \subset M_m(\mathbb{R})$ such that for any $F \in M_m(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \mathcal{S}$ the function*

$$(4) \quad g_{F,L}(x) := \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^l T_{(nF+L)u_j} f_j(x)$$

is independent of $L \in M_m(\mathbb{R})$ for a.e. $x \in N/\Gamma$. Furthermore for any such F the convergence is uniform in L .

Proof. Let M be the diagonal of N^l and let $G = M_m(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^{m^2}$ (thought of as an additive group). Let $\varphi : M_m(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow N^l$ be given by

$$\varphi(F) = (a_{Fu_1}, \dots, a_{Fu_l}).$$

By proposition 3.6, there exists a submanifold V of $(N/\Gamma)^l$, and there exists a countably linear set $\mathcal{S} \subset M_m(\mathbb{R})$ such that for every $F \in M_m(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \mathcal{S}$ there is a countably polynomial set $A_F \subset M$ such that for $(a, \dots, a) \notin A_F$,

$$\overline{\{\varphi(nF)\pi(a, \dots, a)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}} = (a, \dots, a)V,$$

and

$$\overline{G\pi(a, \dots, a)} \subseteq (a, \dots, a)V \quad (\text{therefore } = (a, \dots, a)V).$$

For any $F \in M_m(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \mathcal{S}$, and $(a, \dots, a) \notin A_F$ we have

$$T_{Lu_1} \times \dots \times T_{Lu_l} \pi(a, \dots, a) \in (a, \dots, a)V.$$

The action of $\varphi(F)$ on $(a, \dots, a)V$ is ergodic, and by theorem 3.4 it is uniquely ergodic. The point $(T_{Lu_1} a\Gamma, \dots, T_{Lu_l} a\Gamma) \in (a, \dots, a)V$. By theorem 3.4 the convergence in equation (4) is uniform in L , and $g_{F,L}(a\Gamma)$ is independent of L . $\square$

4.2. Corollary. *Let $Y = (Y^0, \mathcal{B}, \mu, \mathbb{R}^m)$ be an ergodic pro-nilflow. Let f_j be bounded measurable functions on Y^0 . Let $(u_1, \dots, u_l) \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^l$. Then there exists a countably linear set $\mathcal{S} \subset M_m(\mathbb{R})$ such that for any $F \in M_m(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \mathcal{S}$, and all $L \in M_m(\mathbb{R})$ the function*

$$g_{F,L}(y) := \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^l T_{(Fn+L)u_j} f_j(y)$$

where the limit is in $L^2(Y)$, is a constant function of $L \in M_m(\mathbb{R})$ and the convergence is uniform in L .

Proof. If $Y^0 = \lim_{\leftarrow} N_j/\Gamma_j$, the continuous functions on N_j/Γ_j lifted to Y^0 , for all j , are dense in $C(Y^0)$. $\square$

The next proposition will enable us to evaluate averages of functions on X by evaluating the averages of the projections of the functions on the factor $Y_k(X)$ described in 3.7.

4.3. Proposition. *Let $X = (X^0, \mathcal{B}, \mu, \mathbb{R}^m)$ be an ergodic action of $\mathbb{R}^m$, and let $(u_1, \dots, u_k) \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^k$. Let Y_k be the factor described in theorem 3.7, and let $\pi : X \rightarrow Y_k$ be the factor map. Let $f_1 \dots f_k$ be bounded measurable functions on X . Then there exists a countably linear subset $\mathcal{S} \subset M_m(\mathbb{R})$ such that for any $M \in M_m(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \mathcal{S}$, satisfying $T_{Mu_i}, T_{M(u_i - u_j)}$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, k$, $i \neq j$ are ergodic, and for all $P \in M_m(\mathbb{R})$ we have*

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^k T_{(nM+P)u_j} f_j(x) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^k T_{(nM+P)u_j} \pi^* E(f_j | Y_k)(x) \xrightarrow{L^2(X)} 0$$

uniformly in P .

Proof. We prove this inductively. For $k = 1$, let $u \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $u \neq 0$. If T_{Mu} is ergodic then

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N T_{nMu+Pu} f(x) = T_{Pu} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N T_{nMu} f(x) \right) \longrightarrow \int f(x) d\mu$$

uniformly in P , by the Mean Ergodic Theorem. Assume the statement holds for k : i.e., for M outside a countably linear set satisfying $T_{Mu_i}, T_{M(u_i - u_j)}$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, k$, $i \neq j$ are ergodic, and all $P \in M_m(\mathbb{R})$ we have

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^k T_{(nM+P)u_j} f_j(x) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^k T_{(nM+P)u_j} \pi^* E(f_j | Y_k)(x) \xrightarrow{L^2(X)} 0$$

uniformly in P . We show this for $k + 1$. Let $\mathcal{S} \subset M_m(\mathbb{R})$ be the set from corollary 4.2 corresponding to Y_k and $u_1, \dots, u_{k+1}$. For $M \in M_m(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \mathcal{S}$ the L^2 limit

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^{k+1} T_{(nM+P)u_j} E(f_j | Y_k)(y)$$

is independent of P , and the convergence to the limit is uniform in P . Let $M \in M_m(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \mathcal{S}$ satisfy $T_{Mu_i}, T_{M(u_i - u_j)}$ are ergodic for $i, j = 1, \dots, k + 1$, $i \neq j$. It is enough to show that if for some $1 \leq j \leq k + 1$, $E(f_j | Y_{k+1}) = 0$ then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^{k+1} T_{(nM+P)u_j} f_j(x) = 0$$

uniformly in P . We use the Van der Corput Lemma (lemma 3.11). Let $v_n(M, P) := \prod_{j=1}^{k+1} T_{nMu_j+Pu_j} f_j(x)$. Then

$$\langle v_n(M, P), v_{n+r}(M, P) \rangle = \int \prod_{j=1}^{k+1} T_{nMu_j+Pu_j} f_j(x) T_{(n+r)Mu_j+Pu_j} \bar{f}_j(x) d\mu,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_r(M, P) &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle v_n(M, P), v_{n+r}(P, M) \rangle \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int f(x) T_{rMu_1} \bar{f}(x) \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \prod_{j=2}^{k+1} T_{nM(u_j - u_1) + P(u_j - u_1)}(f_j(x) T_{rMu_j} \bar{f}_j(x)) d\mu.\end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis this limit is equal (uniformly in P) to the following limit

$$(5) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int f(x) T_{rMu_1} \bar{f}(x) \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \prod_{j=2}^{k+1} T_{nM(u_j - u_1) + Pu_j - Pu_1} \pi^* E(f_j T_{rMu_j} \bar{f}_j | Y_k)(x) d\mu,$$

which equals

$$(6) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^{k+1} \int T_{nMu_j + Pu_j} \pi^* E(f_j T_{rMu_j} \bar{f}_j | Y_k)(x) d\mu.$$

The limit in equation (6) is a limit on a $k - 1$ step pronilflow, as $M \in M_m(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \mathcal{S}$ it is the same for all P , and the convergence is uniform in P . By 3.7, the limit in equation (6) is equal to

$$\int \prod_{j=1}^{k+1} T_{Pu_j} f_j(x_j) T_{rMu_j + Pu_j} \bar{f}_j(x_j) d\bar{\Delta}_{M\vec{u}}(\mu)(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}),$$

where $\bar{\Delta}_{M\vec{u}}(\mu)$ is a measure on X^{k+1} . Now

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{R} \sum_{r=1}^R \prod_{j=1}^{k+1} T_{rMu_j + Pu_j} \bar{f}_j(x_j)$$

converges uniformly in P to a function F in $L^2(\bar{\Delta}_{M\vec{u}}(\mu))$ which is invariant under $T_{Mu_1} \times \dots \times T_{Mu_{k+1}}$ (by the Mean Ergodic Theorem, as in the case $k = 1$). Finally by 3.7, if $E(f_j | Y_{k+1}) = 0$ for some $1 \leq j \leq k + 1$, then

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{R} \sum_{r=1}^R \gamma_r(M, P) = 0.$$

(uniformly in P). □

4.4. Remark. Corollary 4.2 and proposition 4.3 remain valid if we replace $M_m(\mathbb{R})$ by a linear subspace of $M_m(\mathbb{R})$. We apply this for the case $m = 2$, replacing $M_2(\mathbb{R})$ by the embedding $\mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow M_2(\mathbb{R})$. Then, thinking of $u_1, \dots, u_k$ as points in $\mathbb{C}$ we can replace the matrices $F, L \in M_2(\mathbb{R})$ with $c, d \in \mathbb{C}$ where c is outside countably many lines in $\mathbb{C}$.

4.5. Lemma. For each $r = 1, \dots, \infty$, let $\{s_l^r\}_{l=1}^m \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, such that for each r , $s_l^r \neq \vec{0}$ for some $1 \leq l \leq m$. Let $\{e_l\}_{l=1}^m$ be the standard basis for $\mathbb{R}^m$. There exists an antisymmetric matrix $B \in M_m(\mathbb{R})$ s.t. $Bu_i, B(u_i - u_j) \neq \vec{0}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq k$, $i \neq j$, and

$$\forall r : f_{r,B}(M) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{l=1}^m \langle s_l^r, MB e_l \rangle \neq 0.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the subspace of antisymmetric matrices. Since $f_{r,B}(M)$ is linear in M , we have $f_{r,B}(M) \equiv 0 \iff B$ satisfies the m^2 linear equations given by the standard basis for $\mathbb{R}^{m^2}$. Hence for each r , the 'bad' B form a linear subspace of $\mathcal{B}$. Since we have only a countable number of inequalities, it suffices to show that this linear subspace is a proper subspace of $\mathcal{B}$. So without loss of generality, we have only one inequality. Assume

$$\forall B \in \mathcal{B} : \sum_{l=1}^m \langle M s_l, B e_l \rangle \equiv 0$$

Without loss of generality $s_{11} \neq 0$. Let E_{21} be an $m \times m$ matrix with 1 at the index 21, and 0 elsewhere. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=1}^m \langle E_{21} s_l, B e_l \rangle &= s_{11} b_{21} + s_{21} b_{22} + \dots + s_{m1} b_{2m} \\ &= -s_{11} b_{12} + s_{31} b_{23} + \dots + s_{m1} b_{2m} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

As $s_{11} \neq 0$ this is a non trivial linear condition on antisymmetric matrices. Finally, the conditions $Bu_i = \vec{0}$ or $B(u_i - u_j) = \vec{0}$ are non trivial linear conditions on antisymmetric matrices. $\square$

4.6. Lemma. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a countably linear set in $M_m(\mathbb{R})$, $m \geq 3$. Let $u_1, \dots, u_k \in \mathbb{R}^m$. There exist matrices $M \in M_m(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \mathcal{S}$, and $P \in SO(m)$ such that $M^t P$ is antisymmetric, and $T_{Mu_i}, T_{M(u_i - u_j)}$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, k$, $i \neq j$ are ergodic.

Proof. The set $\mathcal{S}$ is countably linear therefore it is a countable union of sets of the form

$$\mathcal{S}_r = \{N \in M_m(\mathbb{R}) : \sum_{l=1}^m \langle s_l^r, N e_l \rangle = c_r\},$$

Where e_l is the standard basis for $\mathbb{R}^m$, $s_l^r \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $c_r \in \mathbb{R}$. By lemma 4.5 there exists an antisymmetric matrix B , such that $Bu_i, B(u_i - u_j) \neq \vec{0}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq k$, $i \neq j$, and for all r

$$f_r(M) = \sum_{l=1}^m \langle s_l^r, MB e_l \rangle \neq 0.$$

For each r , the set of M with $f_r(M) = c_r$ is a hyperplane in $M_m(\mathbb{R})$. This subspace intersects $SO(m)$ in a proper algebraic subvariety of $SO(m)$. Therefore for a.e. $P \in SO(m)$ (with respect to the Haar measure on

$SO(m)$), $M = PB$ will avoid the bad set $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$. Clearly, if $M = PB$ avoids $\mathcal{S}$ then $tM = tPB$ avoids $\mathcal{S}$ for any $t > 0$. By proposition 3.10, for a.e. $P \in SO(m)$ and a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$ $T_{tPB u_i}$, $T_{tPB(u_i - u_j)}$ act ergodically. $\square$

4.7. Proof of theorem 2.3. Without loss of generality, we may assume by disintegration of μ , that the action of $\mathbb{R}^m$ is ergodic. Let $f = 1_A$ be the characteristic function of the set A , and $\mu(A) = \lambda$. Let Y_k be the factor described in theorem 3.7, and let $E(f|Y_k)$ be the projection of f on $L^2(Y_k)$.

We first prove the theorem for $m > 2$. By corollary 4.2, proposition 4.3, and lemma 4.6, there exist matrices $M \in M_m(\mathbb{R})$, $P \in SO(m)$ such that $M^t P$ is antisymmetric, and for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^k T_{nMu_j + tPu_j} E(f|Y_k)(y) = g(y)$$

in $L^2(Y_k)$, and

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^k T_{nMu_j + tPu_j} f(x) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^k T_{nMu_j + tPu_j} \pi^* E(f|Y_k)(x) \rightarrow 0$$

in $L^2(X)$, where the convergence is uniform in t . Then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^k T_{nMu_j + tPu_j} f(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^k T_{nMu_j} f(x) = \pi^* g(x),$$

and the convergence is uniform in t . By theorem 3.13,

$$\int f(x) \pi^* g(x) d\mu_X > C > 0.$$

Uniform convergence implies that there exists N_0 , such that for all t

$$\left| \frac{1}{N_0} \sum_{n=1}^{N_0} \int f(x) \prod_{j=1}^k T_{nMu_j + tPu_j} f(x) d\mu_X - \int f(x) \pi^* g(x) d\mu_X \right| < \frac{C}{2}.$$

Therefore for N_0 , and for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\frac{1}{N_0} \sum_{n=1}^{N_0} \int f(x) \prod_{j=1}^k T_{nMu_j + tPu_j} f(x) d\mu_X > \frac{C}{2}.$$

This implies that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists $n \leq N_0$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(A \cap T_{(nM+tP)u_1} A \cap \dots \cap T_{(nM+tP)u_k} A) = \\ \int f(x) \prod_{j=1}^k T_{(nM+tP)u_j} f(x) d\mu_X > \frac{C}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now the T_u satisfy the following continuity condition:

$$(7) \quad \forall \varepsilon \exists \delta : \|u - u'\| \leq \delta \Rightarrow |\mu(A \cap T_u A) - \mu(A \cap T_{u'} A)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

As $M^t P$ is antisymmetric, $M \in T_P(SO(m))$ - the tangent space of $SO(m)$ at P . Thus

$$P' := P \exp(\epsilon n P^{-1} M) = P(I + \epsilon n P^{-1} M + o(\epsilon)) = P + \epsilon n M + o(\epsilon)$$

belongs to $SO(m)$. But

$$\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} P + n M\right) - \frac{1}{\epsilon} P' = o(1),$$

and if $t = \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ is large enough, then by equation (7)

$$\mu(A \cap T_{tP'u_1} \cap \dots \cap T_{tP'u_k}) > \frac{C}{4}.$$

For $m = 2$ the proof is similar. By remark 4.4 there exists $c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^k T_{ncu_j + itcu_j} E(f|Y_k)(y) = g(y)$$

in $L^2(Y)$, and

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^k T_{ncu_j + itcu_j} f(x) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^k T_{ncu_j + itcu_j} \pi^* E(f|Y_k)(x) \rightarrow 0$$

in $L^2(X)$, where the convergence is uniform in t . As in the proof for $m > 2$, there exists N_0 , such that for all t

$$\frac{1}{N_0} \sum_{n=1}^{N_0} \int f(x) \prod_{j=1}^k T_{ncu_j + itcu_j} f(x) d\mu_X > \frac{C}{2}.$$

This implies that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists $n \leq N_0$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(A \cap T_{(n+it)cu_1} A \cap \dots \cap T_{(n+it)cu_k} A) = \\ \int f(x) \prod_{j=1}^k T_{(n+it)cu_j} f(x) d\mu_X > \frac{C}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

If t is large enough, then

$$(n + it)cu_j \sim \frac{t}{|n + it|} (n + it)cu_j,$$

and $|\frac{t}{|n + it|} (n + it)| = t$.

REFERENCES

- [Bo] Bourgain, J. *A Szemerédi type theorem for sets of positive density in $\mathbb{R}^k$* . Isr. J. Math. 54, 307 – 316 (1986).
- [FM] Falconer, K.J., Marstrand, J.M. *Plane sets with positive density at infinity contain all large distances*. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 18, 471 – 474 (1986).
- [Fu1] Furstenberg, H. *Recurrence in ergodic theory and combinatorial number theory*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, USA (1981).

- [Fu2] Furstenberg, H. *Ergodic behavior of diagonal measures and a theorem of Szemerédi on arithmetic progressions*. J. d'Analyse Math 31, 204 – 256 (1977).
- [FrKr] Frantzikinakis, N. , Kra, B. *Convergence of multiple ergodic averages for some commuting transformations* To appear in Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems.
- [FuKa] Furstenberg, H., Katznelson, Y *An ergodic Szemerédi theorem for commuting transformations*. J. Analyse Math 34, 275-291 (1978).
- [FuKaW] Furstenberg, H., Katznelson, Y., Weiss, B. *Ergodic theory and configurations in sets of positive density*. Mathematics of Ramsey Theory, Springer Verlag 1990, Nešetřil, Rödl, eds.
- [Gr] Graham, R.L. *Recent trends in Euclidean Ramsey theory*. Discrete Math. 136, 119 – 127 (1994).
- [HKr] Host, B. , Kra, B. *Non-conventional ergodic averages and nilmanifolds*. To appear in the Ann. of Math.
- [Le] *Pointwise convergence of ergodic averages for polynomial sequences of translations on a nilmanifold*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 25 no. 1, 201 – 213 (2005).
- [Ma] Malcev, A. *On a class of homogeneous spaces*. Izvestiya Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat 13, 9-32 (1949).
- [Pa1] Parry, W. *Ergodic properties of affine transformations and flows on nilmanifolds*. Amer. J. Math 91 757-771 (1969).
- [Pa2] Parry, W. *Metric classification of ergodic nilflows and unipotent affines* Amer. J. of Math, 93, pp. 819-828 (1969).
- [Pe] Petersen, K. *Ergodic Theory* Cambridge University Press (1983).
- [PS] Pugh, C., Shub, M. *Ergodic Elements of Ergodic Actions*. Compositio Mathematica 23, 115 – 122 (1971)..
- [Sh] Shah, N. *Invariant measures and orbit closures on homogeneous spaces for actions of subgroups*. Lie groups and ergodic theory (Mumbai, 1996), 229-271, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math., 14, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1998.
- [Z] Ziegler, T. *Universal Characteristic Factors and Furstenberg Averages* preprint available on-line at math.DS/0403212.